



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USDIO.GO

## MAIL

Paper No. 15

Jeffrey S Whittle Bracewell & Patterson 711 Louisiana Street Suite 2900 Houston TX 77002-2781 MAY 1 1 2004

DIRECTOR OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of J. JUDKINS, et al. Application No. 09/513,784 Filed: February 25, 2000 For: INTEGRATED ACD AND IVR SCRIPTING FOR CALL CENTER TRACKING OF CALLS

DECISION ON PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

This is a decision on the Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment based on failure to receive Office Action filed March 8, 2004.

## The petition is **DENIED**.

This application became abandoned due to failure to pay the issue fee in response to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due mailed October 2, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on February 23, 2004.

Petitioner has alleged non-receipt of the Notice of Allowance. In the petition, the petitioner has provided an affidavit from Leticia Herrera stating that no Notice of Allowance was received and docketed. In addition, attached to and referenced in the petition is a copy of the docket record where the non-received office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed. However, the petition lacks a statement from the petitioner attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the office communication was not received.

The requirements for a successful showing of non-receipt of an Office action in accordance with MPEP § 711.03(c) are: 1.) a statement from the practitioner stating that the office communication was not received by the practitioner; and 2.) attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the office communication was not received.

Accordingly, the petition does not comply with the requirements of a successful petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment due to non-receipt of an Office action as set forth above.

Petitioner is given **TWO MONTHS** from the date of this decision in which to supplement the petition by including statements from the practitioner as outlined above. The file is being forwarded to the file repository to await a reply from the petitioner.

Kenneth A. Wieder

Special Program Examiner Technology Center 2600

Communications